


14 April 1981

NOTE FOR: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] briefing conflicts with our warning meeting, but I've asked [REDACTED] to send copies of the notice to our Friday/A.M. group anyway. Attached memo for the record provides background re the [REDACTED] study.



Hudson

25X1



UNITED STATES ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT AGENCY

Washington, D.C. 20451

April 10, 1981

MEMORANDUM

TO: Distribution

FROM: NP/NST - Richard H. Speier *RHS*

SUBJECT: Briefing on Hudson Institute Sanctions Study

the Hudson Institute will give a briefing of their State/ACDA/DOE sponsored study "Economic Sanctions and Other Responses to Dramatic Proliferation Events" at 1:30 P.M., Wednesday, April 22, in the ACDA Conference Room (room 5941, Main State). The meeting will be classified SECRET. 25X1

You are welcome to invite other cleared people. Please call Sue White at 632-8090 with the names of those who will attend.

Concurrence: JMenzel *JMS*

NP/NST:RHSpeier:saw

4/10/81 Approved For Release 2007/03/22 : CIA-RDP87R00029R000200280029-7

UNCLASSIFIED

THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

Deputy Director for National Foreign Assessment

NFAC 6125-80

9 September 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Meeting with [redacted]
of the Hudson Institute

25X1

1. [redacted] and I met [redacted] on 5 September at [redacted] request to discuss intelligence implications of more widespread nuclear weapons proliferation. [redacted] purpose was to get reactions to a need that he sees for a comprehensive assessment that would cover such considerations as early warning, threat analysis, tactical intelligence on small power nuclear forces, verification of possible arms control agreements, and identification of anonymous attackers. [redacted] believes that proliferation will entail special requirements for intelligence including greater completeness, timeliness, and confidence in our knowledge, coupled with an increased data handling and organization capability. The assessment would look into these needs. [redacted] acknowledged that there was a reluctance in the policy community to ask the question "what if additional proliferation happens?" His view was that some additional proliferation was inevitable (he specifically mentioned Pakistan and India as "early" candidates, and Iraq in the mid-1980s).

STAT
25X1
25X1
25X1

25X1

25X1

2. [redacted] suggested that there was a need for a methodology that would aid managers in allocating resources to the kinds of problems [redacted] was raising versus all of the other problems needing attention. In any case, [redacted] will be discussing the matter with NSC staffers and others to get a range of reactions before pursuing it further. He said he would be in touch on results.

STAT

25X1
25X1

STAT